

REMARKS

The present application includes pending claims 1-39, all of which were rejected. Claims 1, 14, 24, 25 and 31 have been amended. Claims 8, 19 and 35 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 40-61 have been added.

Claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-24 and 31-34 and 36-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of U.S. 2002/0143959 ("El-Baze"). Claims 25-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of U.S. 2003/0097655 ("Novak"). The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections for at least reasons previously discussed during prosecution and the following:

The Applicants first turn to the rejection of claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-24 and 31-34 and 36-39 as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of El-Baze. Claim 1 has been amended to recite, in part, "at least one vehicle system within the authorized vehicle communicatively coupled to the set top box circuitry via the second communication interface, the at least one vehicle system having an associated second network address, the at least one vehicle system comprising an entertainment system; a user interface to support the delivery of media, the user interface having at least one view comprising a representation of a sequence of media available for delivery to the at least one vehicle system, the at least one view comprising a first personal media channel that facilitates a user-defined transfer, via the at least one vehicle system, of a video game to one or both of the entertainment system and/or a handheld electronic game system." The Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed combination of Ellis in view of El-Baze does not describe, teach or suggest a vehicle user interface having a view comprising such a personal media channel. Claims 14 and 31 have been amended in a similar fashion. For at least these reasons, the Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed combination does not render claims 1-7, 9-18,

20-24 and 31-34 and 36-39 unpatentable. Thus, these claims should be in condition for allowance.

Claim 25 has been amended to recite, in part, “identifying a vehicle system comprising an entertainment system to receive the selected media based upon input from the user; facilitating a transfer, via the vehicle system, of a video game to one or both of the entertainment system and/or a handheld electronic game system.” The Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed combination of Ellis and Novak does not describe, teach or suggest all of these limitations. That is, the proposed combination does not describe, teach or suggest a vehicle system that includes such an entertainment system, nor scheduling transfer of a video game, via the vehicle system, to one or both of the entertainment system and/or a handheld electronic game system. For at least these reasons, the Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed combination does not render claims 25-30 unpatentable. Thus, these claims should be in condition for allowance.

New claims 40-61 have been added. The Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references do not describe, teach or suggest all of the limitations recited in these new claims.

The fee for the new claims is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & 22 \text{ new claims} \\ & - 3 \text{ canceled claims} \\ & = 19 \text{ claims in excess of the number already examined.} \\ & 19 \text{ dependent claims} \times \$52/\text{claim} = \$988 \end{aligned}$$

In general, the Office Action makes various statements regarding the pending claims and the cited references that are now moot in light of the above. Thus, the Applicants will not address such statements at the present time. The Applicants expressly reserve the right,

however, to challenge such statements in the future should the need arise (e.g., if such statement should become relevant by appearing in future rejection).

The Applicants respectfully submit that the claims should be allowable for at least the reasons discussed above. If the Examiner has any questions or the Applicants can be of any assistance, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any necessary fees, **including the \$810 fee for the RCE and \$988 fee for the new claims**, or credit any overpayment to the Deposit Account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 9, 2008

MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.
500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Telephone: (312) 775-8000
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100

/Joseph M. Butscher/
Joseph M. Butscher
Registration No. 48,326